Cowan Law Office 1495 Ridgeview Dr Reno, NV 89519 Ph 775 786 6111

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Plaintiff LAURA LEIGH submits the following Memorandum of Points & Authorities in support of her Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order:

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff challenges the final decision of the Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") to drive wild horses by helicopter, during foaling season, from public lands in Elko County. BLM calls this helicopter push the "Tuscarora Gather" ("Gather" or "BLM helicopter Gather"). The BLM helicopter Gather would violate the BLM's own management standards. The BLM would engage in a prohibited act as is defined in the BLM's own publications. The BLM's Gather, scheduled during the foaling period, would place in harm's way a protected public resource. The BLM's Gather would contravene U.S. public policy respecting wild horses. The BLM's Notice of Closure is at **EXHIBIT** "A" attached.

Plaintiff also challenges the BLM's decision to exclude the public, the press, journalists or anyone not involved in the Gather, from entering 27,000 acres of public lands where the Gather would occur. The BLM even closed air space there to exclude observation of the Gather by use of aircraft. This broad closure and exclusion of those interested in observing and reporting the BLM's management of public lands, as practiced, censors fair observation and reporting of the Gather by the press, by journalists and by Plaintiff (also a journalist and writer) of newsworthy matters involving government action on public lands which is of significant public interest. This broad closure and exclusion of the public and press by the BLM results in an impermissible prior restraint of free speech and censoring of the press, of journalists and of the public prohibited by the First Amendment of our Constitution.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

Cowan Law Office 1495 Ridgeview Dr Reno, NV 89519 Ph 775 786 6111

BLM's POOR CHOICES

The BLM's intended course is just plain wrong, it is harmful and it causes irreparable harm. Some reasons why the BLM's conduct is wrong and harmful are as follows:

The Horses and Foaling Season

- 1. The BLM's helicopter Gather runs wild horses off public lands during the recognized foaling period;
- 2. The BLM's helicopter Gather would likely
 - a. harm, maim or kill unweaned foals,
 - b. harm, maim or kill mares (moms) who recently gave birth,
 - harm, maim or kill soon-to-be birthing mares who are in their final stage of pregnancy;
- 3. The BLM's helicopter Gather is prohibited at this time by its own published standards expressed in its official management manual, the Wild Horses and Burros Management Handbook ("BLM Wild Horse Management Handbook" or "BLM Handbook"). The BLM Handbook was recently published June 2010. The BLM Handbook prohibits the BLM from gathering or removing horses by helicopter during the foaling period. The BLM Handbook says this:

4.4.4 Foaling Period

[T]he capture of wild horses by using a helicopter to herd the animals is prohibited during the foaling period, which is defined as six weeks on either side of the peak of foaling to assure that young foals are mature enough to be able to remain with their band during gather activities. This period is generally March 1 to June 30 for most wild horse herds

saw first hand, the following in the Gather area:

EXHIBIT "B" attached. (Emphasis added).

2

4.

- 4
- 5
- 7
- 8
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- Several newly born foals;
- b. Several unweaned foals;
- Several mares who just recently gave birth and who were nursing newly born foals;

Just days before the BLM closed off the helicopter Gather area, observers

 d. Several pregnant mares appearing late in their final stage of pregnancy and appear ready to foal any day.

The observers' attached Affidavits provide more detail of such horses observed, the date(s) and locations where these young horses and mares were seen. The observers are available to tell the court what they saw before the BLM closed off the Gather area;

- 5. One observer is a wildlife ecologist who has considerable experience in studying and working with wild horses and other species in range habitats. The biologist confirms the health, safety and welfare of the young foals, the mares who recently gave birth and the pregnant mares who are about to foal, would be jeopardized from the BLM's intended helicopter Gather. He confirms the general foaling period is in the range of dates from approximately March to mid-August. The wildlife ecologist confirms the helicopter Gather is premature and within the actual foaling period. The biologist confirms helicopter driving of wild horses who are in this fragile state (i.e. being newly born or having recently given birth, or coming to the end of a pregnancy), would have a difficult time surviving the BLM's helicopter Gather. (See discussion, below);
- 6. The BLM's Gather is contrary to the stated public policy of the United States relative to the management of wild horses on federal lands. The public policy is stated in The Wild Free-Roaming Horses and Burro Act of

28 ///

///

1971 which provides, in relevant part, as follows:

Congress finds and declares that wild free-roaming horses and burros are living symbols of the historic and pioneer spirit of the West; that they contribute to the diversity of life forms within the Nation and enrich the lives of the American people; and that these horses and burros are fast disappearing from the American scene. It is the policy of Congress that wild free-roaming horses and burros shall be protected from capture, branding, harassment, or death; and to accomplish this they are to be considered in the area where presently found, as an integral part of the natural system of the public lands.

The Wild Free-Roaming Horses and Burro Act of 1971, P.L.92-195, 16 U.S.C. 1331.

- 7. The BLM remains stubbornly steadfast to proceed with its helicopter Gather, just for "plan's sake," in spite of the likely resulting tragic consequences of placing in harm's way newly born foals, unweaned foals, mares who recently gave birth and mares approaching the end of their pregnancies;
- 8. The BLM's choice to conduct a helicopter Gather while disregarding true conditions of mares and babies in the BLM's intended helicopter Gather area, and while disregarding its own standards relative to the foaling period, is arbitrary, capricious, it amounts to an abuse of discretion, it is otherwise not in accordance with law, or it is implemented without observance of procedure required by law.

Censure of Journalists and Fair Reporting – a Constitutional Infringement

- The closure of the area from the public including journalists, the press and citizens, amounts to a prior restraint on observation and fair reporting of a newsworthy event having significant public interest;
- 10. The closure effectively censors from the public and from the viewer's eye, information that is of significant public interest concerning the government's management of public resources.

LEGAL STANDARDS

A. Standard of Review Under APA

Under the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. § 551 et seq., "[a]gency action made reviewable by statute and final agency action for which there is no other adequate remedy in a court are subject to judicial review." *Id.* § 704.

The APA provides that the reviewing court *shall* "hold unlawful and set aside agency action . . . found to be . . . in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations, or short of statutory right." *Id.* § 706(2)(c).

"To determine if the Secretary has exceeded his statutory authority under 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(c), the Court must engage in the two-step inquiry required by *Chevron.*" *Anna Jacques Hosp. v. Leavitt*, 537 F. Supp. 2d 24, 29-30 (D.D.C. 2008) [referring to *Chevron*, *U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc.*, 467 U.S. 837 (1984)].

"If the intent of Congress is clear, that is the end of the matter; for the court, as well as the agency, must give effect to the unambiguously expressed intent of Congress." *Chevron*, 467 U.S. at 842-43. "[I]f the statute is silent or ambiguous with respect to the specific issue, the question for the court is whether the agency's answer is based on a permissible construction of the statute." *Id.* at 843.

Applying *Chevron* and the APA, we look to the Wild Free-Roaming Horses and Burro Act of 1971. See, P.L.92-195, 16 U.S.C. 1331 et. seq. (The "Wild Horse Act" or the "Act").

2

3

В. The Wild Horse Act

4

6 7

5

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

It is the public policy of the United States of America to protect and preserve wild-free roaming horses. Congress states this policy as follows:

> Congress finds and declares that wild free-roaming horses and burros are living symbols of the historic and pioneer spirit of the West; that they contribute to the diversity of life forms within the Nation and enrich the lives of the American people; and that these horses and burros are fast disappearing from the American scene. It is the policy of Congress that wild free-roaming horses and burros shall be protected from capture, branding, harassment, or death; and to accomplish this they are to be considered in the area where presently found, as an integral part of the natural system of the public lands.

> > The Wild Free-Roaming Horses and Burro Act of 1971, 16 U.S.C. §1331 (Emphasis Added).

Congress defines the powers and duties of the Secretary of the Interior, relative to the management of wild horses on public lands, in Section 1333 of the Act. That Section defines by mandatory language the Secretary's obligation to humanely capture certain wild horses. Section 1333 provides in relevant part, that the removal of excess horses from public lands...

> [s]hall be taken, in the following order and priority until all excess animals have been removed so as to restore a thriving natural ecological balance to the range, and protect the range from the deterioration associated with overpopulation:

(A) The Secretary shall order old, sick, or lame animals to

be destroyed in the most humane manner possible; 1 (B) The Secretary shall cause such number of 2 additional excess wild free-roaming horses and 3 burros to be humanely captured and removed for 4 private maintenance and care for which he 5 determines an adoption demand exists by qualified 6 individuals, and for which he determines he can 7 assure humane treatment and care (including proper 8 transportation, feeding, and handling) 9 16 USC 1333 (b)(2)(iv)(B) (Emphasis Added). 10 The *humane* capture language repeats in mandatory terms. 11 The specific issue here is not whether the BLM may proceed with a gather of 12 wild horses. The issue instead, is whether the BLM may proceed with its helicopter 13 Gather when utilizing a method and timing that is inhumane to the animals it seeks to 14 capture. 15 If the BLM's method of capture is determined or considered inhumane, in that 16 event the BLM's conduct would appear to be contrary to Congress' expressed intent 17 when it mandated. 18 The Secretary shall cause such number of 19 additional excess wild free-roaming horses . . . 20 to be *humanely* captured 21 16 USC §1333 (b)(2)(iv)(B) (Emphasis Added). 22 The BLM's method if inhumane, would also be contrary to Congress' expressed, stated 23 public policy that, 24 wild free-roaming horses and burros shall be 25 protected from capture, branding, harassment, 26 or death; and to accomplish this they are to be 27 considered in the area where presently found, 28

as an integral part of the natural system of the public lands.

16 USC §1331.

Should the court agree there is a sufficient basis to conclude the method and timing of the gather is contrary to express provisions of Congress, then the court, "must give effect to the unambiguously expressed intent of Congress." *Chevron*, 467 U.S. at 842-43.

C. Plaintiff's Contentions re. The Wild Horse Act

Timing of the Helicopter Gather

Plaintiff contends the BLM's helicopter Gather as *timed*, together with the employment of driving herds five to ten miles via helicopter, is inhumane to young foals, to mares who recently gave birth, and to those mares who approach the end of their pregnancy. *These* particular horses are not those considered, "old, sick, or lame animals," although they become sick and lame when they are not mature enough to be driven by the rotor blades of a chopper the distance of several miles in the heat of the Nevada desert. (Today's expected "high" temperature in Elko should reach 96°).

If the BLM concludes that "sick or lame" horses include those who are too young to travel, or those who are not in condition because they recently gave birth, or those who can't stand the trip because they are carrying a foal and are close to terminating their pregnancy, then Messrs. Salazar, Abbey and Wenker should consider taking lessons in compassion and humanity. Should BLM disregard foals because they don't recognize them until after they are identified, accounted-for or freeze-branded, in that event the Agency's philosophy and self-imposed rules are ineffective and contrary to clear Congressional intent.

Mr. Craig Downer, a wildlife ecologist who maintains impeccable credentials, concludes this:

11. It is not humane in my opinion, to drive by helicopter, foals from the Gather area at this time, when in a

fragile state because of their young age. This is because they have soft hoofs and are not able to withstand the sharp rocks from a long drive. They also have developing lungs, muscles and bones and do not have the stamina to run those long distances as do more mature horses. The mares who recently gave birth are in a fragile state and likewise at risk of injury.

* * *

observation which spans many years now, it is my opinion that, due to the extreme heat of the midsummer and the jagged lava outcroppings found in these particular wild horse herd management areas (Little Humboldt, Rock Creek and Owyhee which comprises the area of the Tuscarora Wild Horse Gather) and the tender age of many young, it would be cruel to these horses in the difficult rocky conditions and high daily temperatures for the BLM to continue with the above mentioned "Tuscarora" helicopter Gather.

Mr. Downer's Declaration is included as a separate document in support of this Motion; and his credentials are stated therein. ("Craig Downer's Declaration"). Plaintiff incorporates this Declaration herein.

The Foaling Period

Plaintiff contends the BLM's decision to conduct its helicopter Gather during the relevant foaling period is likewise inhumane. The BLM's decision also contravenes its own published rules on gathering these horses.

The Actual Foaling Period

There is sufficient evidence demonstrating the relevant foaling period continues at least to mid-August. The evidence includes the following:

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

1. Young foals and mares who recently gave birth were seen in the area of the BLM's helicopter Gather just before the area was closed down by the BLM. Laura Leigh's Declaration, filed in support of this Motion, confirms the following:

> I was in this very Tuscarora Gather area three days the end of May, this year. At that time I personally observed the following: I saw not many horses or signs of horses. I did see at a minimum, 20 horses comprised of three different bands. One was a bachelor band. The other two bands contained mares and babies. Within these two family bands, I saw three mares obviously pregnant. I saw two foals in one band and one in the other band. The foals I saw were less than 30 days old. The pregnant mares I saw appeared to be close enough to be within six to eight weeks of giving birth.

> > Laura Leigh's Declaration, p. 3

Plaintiff incorporates her Declaration herein.

- 2. Mr. Craig Downer's Declaration states as follows:
 - 7. On Monday, June 21st, 2010, I overflew the Little Humboldt, Rock Creek and Owyhee wild horse herd management areas. These areas are now referenced by the Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") collectively as the Tuscarora Wild Horse Gather area. On this date I observed at least 312 wild horses in 41

different bands. I also observed roughly ten times more grazing cattle than wild horses.

8. During this flight I personally observed many pregnant wild mares or mares who had recently given birth together with newborn foals at their sides. I saw many more of these mare/foal pairs in the Rock Creek and Owyhee BLM herd management areas than were observed elsewhere.

Craig Downer's Declaration, pp.2-3.

Mr. Downer also observes this:

In my experience and from personal observation which spans many years now, it is my opinion that the typical foaling period for wild horses in this area and in other wild horse, public lands management areas generally spans from early March to mid-August. And, the intended Tuscarora Wild Horse Gather would occur in the natural and normal foaling period for these horses.

Craig Downer's Declaration, pp.3-4.

The BLM's Published Foaling Period

As stated previously, the BLM's own management manual (outlined above), defines the foaling period as including the six weeks following June 30th; that the peak foaling period ends June 30 and the foaling period extends six weeks beyond June 30. See, **EXHIBIT "B"** attached.

The BLM is content to play semantics at the expense of these protected animals.

They claim the wording of the BLM's document is "unfortunate" but that it really means

something else.

Conclusion re APA

Without belaboring the point further, there is sufficient evidence that would lead reasonable persons to conclude the clear, expressed intent of Congress is denigrated and violated by the BLM's choice to conduct a helicopter Gather during the *actual* foaling season of the Tuscarora wild horse gather area. The BLM's conduct ignores not only the actual foaling season, it disregards the typical foaling season, and it disregards its own published "foaling period" so as to conduct a "prohibited" helicopter gather in the area. The BLM's stubbornness in refusing to delay its Gather by about four weeks to avoid the foaling period causes an *inhumane* gather, contrary to the clear, express intent of Congress. Such stubbornness in action should be sufficient to "hold unlawful and set aside agency action . . . found to be . . . in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations, or short of statutory right." *Id.* § 706(2)(c).

THE CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE

Does the closure of public lands by the BLM during its helicopter Gather violate the First Amendment? Does the First Amendment include certain unarticulated rights which protects from censoring or closure, the public, the press, journalists and writers, from first-hand viewing, listening, observing and obtaining newsworthy information of the BLM's helicopter Gather occurring on public lands? Does the BLM's sordid history in having maimed and killed horses in past gathers, make the matter more newsworthy?

The First Amendment to the United States Constitution provides that "Congress shall make no law...abridging the freedom...of the press."

After a series of mistrials in a Virginia murder case a State trial judge closed all court proceedings to the media and to the public. Two reporters and the local newspaper were ousted from the courtroom. The Richmond Newspaper brought suit challenging the judge's closure of the public forum. The newspaper's writs were dismissed by the Virginia Supreme Court. The issue went from there to the U.S.

Supreme Court.

In a 7-to-1 decision the Court held that the right of access by the press and public to criminal trials is "implicit in the guarantees of the First Amendment." The Court held the First Amendment encompassed not only the right to speak but also the freedom to listen and to receive information and ideas. The Court also noted the First Amendment guarantees the right of assembly in public places such as courthouses. The Court emphasized that "certain unarticulated rights" were implicit in enumerated guarantees and were often "indispensable to the enjoyment of rights explicitly defined." *Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia*, 448 U.S. 555, 100 S. Ct. 2814 (1980).

Richmond Newspaper's ideology of openness and publicity in criminal trials is no less instructive or germane here. The area of the BLM helicopter Gather occurs on public lands to which the public has a right to be. The BLM's "management" of, "living symbols of the historic and pioneer spirit of the West" is an issue of significant public interest. The press, journalists and writers who observe, listen and then report to the public what transpires, is newsworthy. The BLM does not have a stellar record in prior gathers where they have in the past, caused multiple casualties of young foals and other wild horses when using the same method they plan to use in the BLM helicopter Gather.

To restrict and close off the BLM helicopter Gather area from the press, from journalists, from writers and from the public, is an impermissible limitation to rights enumerated under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

To close off 27,000 acres of public lands and its airspace without advising well in advance where, when and how the press, journalists, writers and the public might able to view the BLM helicopter Gather, is an impermissible limitation to rights enumerated under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

To limit the press, journalists, writers and the public access to viewing and observing the actual BLM helicopter Gather itself, which by restrictive rules on distance or through other vague, limiting methods, which effectively shields or censors from the

 public's view the BLM's actual herding, driving, moving and capture of wild horses from public lands, is likewise an impermissible limitation to rights enumerated under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

The BLM is *not* able to state it maintains a stellar record in preventing injuries and deaths among the wild horses they've herded and gathered previously. This fact makes the BLM helicopter Gather of even greater newsworthy importance to the public interest.

The press must have the freedom to observe and then report newsworthy matters including and without limitation, abuses of power by governing authorities, cover-ups of unofficial government action, or the like. The citizenry should be made aware of matters involving public interest. There should be a free exchange of both information and opinion *sans* government hindrance, between the press and the public who are interested recipients of these reports. Even if the news is critical of government action, the First Amendment protects from government interference, the free exchange of that information, of ideas and of opinions.

Just like the court proceedings in the Virginia murder trial discussed in *Richmond Newspaper*, the BLM's helicopter Gather may not be a "front page," national event. Nevertheless, the BLM's methodology in managing, herding, moving and capturing wild horses from public lands via helicopter is a newsworthy matter. It should be open to public scrutiny particularly where the closure involves public lands, on which the public routinely has access.

Broadly closing the Gather area and then not specifically defining well in advance of the event, areas, times, locals from which the press, journalists and the public may view the BLM's helicopter Gather, amounts to an impermissible prior restraint on the ability of the press, of journalists, of writers and of the public to view and document government in action. The plan is in practice, a form of censorship.

Whether the Defendants must convince the court that a compelling or substantial government interest is involved, or if the Defendants must merely meet a "balancing

test," neither test is satisfied under these facts.

THE PLAINTIFF

Plaintiff Laura Leigh receives revenues from fair reporting to the public as a journalist on issues involving wild horses and also their management by both private organizations and by governing authorities including the BLM. Her participation has included magazine, internet and television including CNN.

Ms. Leigh has on several occasions, been to the areas where the BLM helicopter Gather is to take place. She has also observed and reported on other BLM gathers of wild horses from public lands.

Ms. Leigh is also a regarded, talented publisher, artist and illustrator of art. Her more recent works involve horses as her subjects. She is also involved in publishing children's books. She has completed art projects for significant charitable organizations including by example, the United Way. Samples of her work are available on the internet at www.barndoorstudio.com.

Ms. Leigh is also the person in charge on behalf of the Cloud Foundation, of the "Herd Watch" Program. Information concerning the Cloud Foundation is obtained on the internet at www.thecloudfoundation.org. The purpose underlying the "Herd Watch" program is as follows:

[t]o create a concise database of information that tracks public lands range health, gather operations and the disposition of American wild equids. The database will be utilized as a tool to educate and inform the public to provide accurate responses in the public process of democratic government.

FED.R.CIV.P. 65

Fed.R.Civ.P. Rule 65 is self-explanatory and for brevity, is not repeated herein. There are certain requirements the court must determine before agreeing to issue injunctive relief. These topics are addressed as follows:

STANDING

Under these facts together with her supporting Declaration, Ms. Leigh has "standing" to bring this claim. At least one other federal trial judge, the Hon. Rosemary M. Collyer of the District of Colombia found such "standing" on much less supportive facts than those provided herein. See, e.g., *Colorado Wild Horse and Burro Coalition, Inc. v. Salazar*, 639 F. Supp. 2d 87 (2009)(held, plaintiffs had standing to challenge plan and BLM lacked inherent authority to remove horses under the Wild Horse Act). Ms. Leigh's Declaration establishes requisite standing.

NO ADEQUATE OR SPEEDY REMEDY AT LAW

Plaintiff as a journalist maintains no adequate or speedy remedy at law in being precluded from the area of the BLM helicopter Gather. No action for damages is sufficient; nor would it be timely. No other relief appears prudent except that in equity which allows for injunctive relief.

Plaintiff as a writer and illustrator of children's books addressing management methods of wild horses, maintains no adequate or speedy remedy at law when the BLM's helicopter Gather alters the children story line from a good story involving good and responsible management practices of wild horses on free ranges. Plaintiff has no desire to illustrate what would likely amount to a grim epitaph of the demise of young foals and mares who recently gave birth, and of pregnant mares, resultant of herding wild horses from public lands during their foaling period. Children, always looking for a good ending to a story, are not likely to appreciate such a dreary, dark aftermath.

Plaintiff has no adequate or speedy remedy at law when her Constitutional freedoms are limited by government action. The closure of the BLM helicopter Gather limits her ability as a journalist to visualize, observe and then report what transpires in an event that has public interest and is newsworthy.

IRREPARABLE HARM

Where there is a prior restraint on speech or on the freedom to report

government activity, there is always irreparable harm. How does one place a price on an infringement — even just a little infringement — on one's freedoms caused by improper or illegal interference from government?

Ms Leigh would suffer immediate, irreparable harm and injury from being denied the opportunity to engage in her vocations. She is denied access to see, to hear, to view and to report a government agency in action that maintains a history of injuring and maiming or killing the very resource and specie it's charged with protecting. This is newsworthy material, the BLM knows it and they seek to hide it by simply closing the area.

When Ms. Leigh and those similarly situated are effectively censored from providing fair reporting of government in action, she and others similarly situated incur irreparable harm. By the government's closure, Ms. Leigh and others become limited from engaging in the very freedoms the First Amendment guarantees that it would protect. Her free speech is stymied. The public's right to know, and Ms. Leigh's ability to report, how the BLM conducts its Gather, becomes effectively censored when the BLM excludes all from the BLM helicopter Gather area.

Plaintiff refers the court to her supporting Declaration which outlines other irreparable harm to her.

SUBSTANTIAL LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING ON MERITS OF SUIT

Ms. Leigh maintains a substantial likelihood of prevailing on the merits. She in essence seeks claims for Declaratory Relief and for Injunctive Relief. She contends the BLM is not operating with authority under their own guidelines; and she has expert testimony to support the claims. But, more important is, she's right!

Ms. Leigh's injunctive relief on constitutional grounds is well-founded. The BLM remains secretive on exactly, where, when and how they would allow visitors to the area to view the Gather. By closing off 27,000 acres including the air space above and then leaving it to the last minute with vague instructions on where to find postings of visitor areas, the BLM excludes the public, journalists, the press and Ms. Leigh from

2

3

4

5

6

involving substantial public interest.

TWO FINAL REASONS WHY A TRO IS APPROPRIATE

observing and reporting government in action on a hot topic. This is an impermissible

stifling of speech. It limits the ability of the press to report on newsworthy matters

Publication in the Federal Register

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The BLM is required to publish its temporary closure order of public lands for the Tuscarora Gather, in the Federal Register. As of this immediate past weekend, no such publication was found by the undersigned. If for some reason the temporary closure order was timely filed in the Federal Register, in that event the undersigned did not find it, apologizes for raising the issue, and would appreciate being given a copy of same.

Meanwhile, the failure to publish the closure order as required, would cause the prevention of those seeking to view and observe the Gather, illegal and contrary to relevant, existing law.

The Gather in Progress

The undersigned is informed and believes that as a result of today's activities by the BLM in the BLM helicopter Gather, that they have thus far, killed seven (7) horses. No more is known at this point.

NOTICE TO THE GOVERNMENT

As of this writing the court has yet to issue a Summons for service. However, copies of the filed Complaint and of this Motion were sent electronically to Erik Peterson, Esq., with the U.S. Dept. of Justice in Washington D.C.

Attorney Peterson asks that the following be conveyed to the court:

Counsel for the Plaintiff has informed counsel for the Federal Defendants that Plaintiff intends to seek a TRO in this matter, and the Federal Defendants stated that they intend to file a responsive brief and wish to be heard on this matter before the Court issues a ruling.

CONCLUSION

The undersigned conveyed to Trial Attorney Peterson, that the Plaintiff would "stop the press" and *not* file suit if the Defendants agreed to postpone the gather to mid-August. This "olive branch" was refused, forcing suit and this motion to be filed.

For reasons discussed Plaintiff respectfully requests a Temporary Restraining Order issue precluding the BLM's intended, described conduct of the Tuscarora Gather, until such time as the court schedules a hearing on a preliminary injunction, based on the preliminary finding that the Tuscarora Wild Horse Gather is in, "excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority or limitations, or short of statutory right." 5 USC §706(2)(C).

COUNSEL CERTIFICATION

In accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P., as counsel for Plaintiff, the undersigned hereby certifies he personally spoke with Defendants' counsel Mr. Petersen, that this Motion would be sought shortly; that the undersigned provided Defendants' counsel Mr. Petersen a courtesy copy of the Complaint before the weekend; and the undersigned provided by electronic service, a copy of this Motion to Mr. Petersen.

Dated this 12th day of July 2010

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, LAW OFFICE OF GORDON M. COWAN

/S/

Gordon M. Cowan Esq. (SBN 1781) Attorney for Plaintiff LAURA LEIGH

Cowan Law Office 1495 Ridgeview Dr Reno, NV 89519 Ph 775 786 6111

	Case 3:10-cv-00417-LRH-VPC Document 3 Filed 07/12/10 Page 21 of 21
1 2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE [Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b); LR 5-1; Section IV of District of Nevada Electronic Filing Procedures]
3	I certify that I am employed at 1495 Ridgeview Drive, #90, Reno, Nevada,
4	89519; and, on this date I served the foregoing document(s) on all parties to this action
5	by: X Electronic service:
6	Erik Petersen, Esq. erik.peterson@usdoj.gov
7	Placing an original or true copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage
8	prepaid in the United States Mail at Reno, Nevada, following ordinary business practices
9	Erik Peterson, Esq. U.S. Dept. of Justice
10	Wildlife & Marine Resources Section Ben Franklin Station
11	P.O. Box 7369 Washington, D.C. 20044
12	Overnight or hand delivery to:
13	Erik Peterson, Esq. U.S. Dept. of Justice
14 15	Wildlife & Marine Resources Section 601 D Street, N.W., Room 3909 Washington, D.C. 20004
16	Personal delivery to:
17	Office of the U.S. Attorney 100 W. Liberty St., Suite 600
18	Reno, NV 89501
19	Facsimile to: Erik Peterson, Esq. 202 305 0275
20	202 000 027 0
21	DATED this 12 th day of July 2010
22	/S/
23	G.M. Cowan
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
ce Dr	

Cowan Law Office 1495 Ridgeview Dr Reno, NV 89519 Ph 775 786 6111