1	GORDON M. COWAN, Esq.
2	SBN# 1781 Law Office of Gordon M. Cowan
3	1495 Ridgeview Drive, #90 Reno, Nevada 89519
4	Telephone (775) 786-6111
5	Attorney for Plaintiff LAURA LEIGH
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	LAURA LEIGH,
9	Plaintiff,
10	Case No. 3:10-cv-00417-LRH-VPC
11	VS.
12	KEN SALAZAR, in his official capacity as Secretary of the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
13	THE INTERIOR, BOB ABBEY, in his official capacity as Director of the BUREAU OF
14	LAND MANAGEMENT; RON WENKER in his official capacity as Nevada State Director of
15	the BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, et al.,
16	Defendants.
17	
18	PLAINTIFF'S REPLY BRIEF TO RENEWED / SECOND MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
19	Plaintiff submits the following Reply brief in support of her Second Temporary
20	Restraining Order:
21	Background
22	Defendants inject a "personal" element unnecessarily into the mix, claiming there
23	is an undercurrent agenda involved. Let's get to the heart and cease personal attacks.
24	The heart of the matter is this: These gathers will be concluded and finished this Friday.
25	Horse Condition and Management
26	The Defendants reiterate their veterinarian (Dr. Kane) relays the many horse
27	deaths to dehydration occurring prior to the gather. This is an apparent controversy
28	among experts. Plaintiffs are prepared to have Nena Winand, DVM, available by phone

for testimony should the court so require. If horse conditioning is an issue of concern for the court, Plaintiff specifically asks that the court make Dr. Winand available by phone where she resides in upstate New York. The undersigned is prepared to offer the court her telephone number for this purpose. She just needs to know the date and time of the scheduled hearing and timing of her anticipated contribution.

Meanwhile, Dr. Kane's "death journal" does not appear to include the dead horse found on the rocks as is depicted by Katie Fite's photos. Why is that if he is the veterinarian in charge and who is also in charge of keeping a death toll? What was the cause of death of the horse depicted in Katie Fite's photo?

Dr. Kane said he traveled to the Owyhee site where horses were gathered. His conclusions were based in part on his observations of having found no water. Why did he not report the water that Katie Fite documents in her photos along the South Fork of the Owyhee River? Katie Fite's photos are within the same vicinity referenced in the BLM Supplemental Report of July 15, 2010.

Plaintiff respectfully requests the final paragraph of Dr. Kane's Declaration be stricken as incorporating opinions to which he is not qualified to testify. These statements reference his personal beliefs as to the compassion the BLM has toward wild horses, a subject inappropriate for the purpose of his Declaration.

## Inhumane Method

Defendants' comments appear merely as argument, without additional substance. Plaintiff relies on the material and information she's provided the court with her Motion.

Dr. Kane acknowledges his observations of foals within ten weeks of age, being gathered at these sites.

Plaintiff is ready with Dr. Winand's testimony should this be necessary, so long as she is permitted to testify by telephone, to address "humane" issues and other relevant veterinary medicine and related matters to the case.

Plaintiff is informed and believes Dr. Kane was present at the Calico gather

earlier this year. More than 100 horses perished from that Calico gather while Dr. Kane stood by as the BLM's official veterinarian, there.

## **Public Access**

The Plaintiff is prepared to discuss at the hearing, access to the Rock Creek and Humboldt HMAs that were offered.

Plaintiff's experience is that the Rock Creek and Little Humboldt gathers are much more restrictive to the public than other gathers have been in the past. The public access areas were significantly farther away than on previous occasions. Even where gathers in the past concluded on private lands the public (and Plaintiff) Plaintiff recalls being given access to these private land areas, close to the trap sites.

The timing of when the public was given access in Little Humboldt and Rock Creek HMAs is also significantly more restrictive than on past gathers. The Plaintiff and others who attended the Rock Creek public viewing day, were allowed to view the captured horses some three hours after they had been gathered. This belated viewing interferes with the Plaintiff's right to record and document on her own, condition of the horses shortly after they arrive. Respiration rates, perspiration and other outward physical signs demonstrating the condition of horses gathered, are antiseptically removed from the public's view where the Defendants delay by some three hours, the opportunity to see the captured horses.

Also, the length of time of the official public viewing compared with the numerous days it took to gather horses is likewise unreasonable. The Defendants allowed only two public viewing days compared with the numerous days each lasting several hours, the Defendants expended in gathering wild horses from among three separate HMAs. The restriction to only two viewing days, for only a minimal viewing periods, and at a distance that prevents the public from accurately observing the physical and some outward signs of physiological conditions of these horses, is unreasonable, unnecessarily restrictive and also contrary to how the Defendants allowed public access to previous gathers.

2

1

3

5

4

7

6

8 9

11

10

12 13

14

15

17

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Little Humboldt Gather

The Defendants apparently do not rebut what their own public relations film says about the reasons why the Defendants were gathering in Little Humboldt. This gather is not to remove excess horses.

Where they apparently acknowledge the gather's purpose is to *not* gather excess horses, the removal of horses from that area would be contrary to the Free Roam Wild Horse and Burro Act of 1971. See, Colorado Wild Horse and Burrow Coalition, Inc v. Salazar, 639 F. Supp 2d 87 (D.D.C. 2008).

Attached with this Motion is a photo of a gather the Plaintiff attended on a prior occasion. Plaintiff was not allowed nearly this same type access at Rock Creek or Little Humboldt. In Owyhee she was refused *all* access to the trap site.

How the Defendants handled public access with prior gathers is relevant where the court allowed the Defendants to promulgate reasonable restrictions for health/safety concerns when lifting the BLM's closure.

Plaintiff believes the location in which the public was allowed access on prior gathers, is reasonable and a good representation of how gathers should incorporate public access for these gathers.

Regarding Mr. Overcast's Declaration of how the contractor gently moved wild horses in the HMAs including the Owyhee HMA, if his testimony is the case, then why not be transparent about it? What the BLM did instead, was to conceal all activity occurring there.

There is no apparent discussion from the Defendants countering both the

Plaintiff's observations from the air, and Ms. Katie Fite's observations on the ground,

relative to range conditions, horse herd population conditions, cattle presence, water,

and the like they observed of the Little Humboldt and Rock Creek areas.

Defendants Did Not Refute Noted Range Conditions

195 Ridgeview Dr eno, NV 89519

## Irreparable Harm and Success on the Merits

The Defendants discussion appears more argumentative and conclusory rather than factual or substantive. The Defendants have not rebutted any fact Ms. Leigh presented with her Declarations concerning her irreparable harm from the gather itself and also from being denied access.

## CONCLUSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The Plaintiff respectfully requests a Temporary Restraining Order issue precluding the BLM's intended, ongoing and described conduct in the Rock Creek and Little Humboldt HMAs based on the preliminary finding that the gathers in those HMAs are in, "excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority or limitations, or short of statutory right." 5 USC §706(2)( C).

Dated this 27<sup>th</sup> day of July 2010

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, LAW OFFICE OF GORDON M. COWAN

/S/

Gordon M. Cowan Esq. (SBN 1781) Attorney for Plaintiff LAURA LEIGH

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

[Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b) & Local Rules for Electronic Filing]

I certify that I am employed at 1495 Ridgeview Drive, #90, Reno, Nevada, 89519; and, on this date I served the foregoing document(s) on all parties to this action by:

X Electronic service:

Erik Petersen, Esq. Ayako Sato, Esq. Greg Addington erik.peterson@usdoj.gov ayako.sato@usdoj.gov greg.addington@usdoj.gov

DATED this 27th day of July 2010

/S/

G.M. Cowan

28